

Pivotal Quantities

5/2/11 (1)

Remark: $T \equiv 1$ is definitely a pivotal quantity.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x|\theta)$.

Let S be a sufficient statistic.

Let $S \sim \text{CDF } F_\theta(s)$.

Suppose F_θ is continuous.

Let $U(X, \theta)$

$$= U$$

$$= F_\theta(S)$$

$$\sim \text{UNIFORM}(0, 1)$$

\rightarrow does not depend on θ .

U is a pivotal quantity.

$$\Rightarrow A = \left\{ \theta : \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq U(X, \theta) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}$$

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for θ .

Ex) $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, 1)$.

Then $S = \bar{X}$. KNOW

$$S \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

$$F_\theta(s) = \Phi(\sqrt{n}(s-\theta)).$$

That means

$$U = F_\theta(S) = \Phi(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\theta))$$

$$A = \left\{ \theta : \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq \Phi(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\theta)) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}$$

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI for θ .

Rewrite A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\{ \theta : -z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \theta) \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \theta : -\frac{z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{x} - \theta \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \theta : -\bar{x} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq -\theta \leq -\bar{x} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \theta : \bar{x} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \theta \leq \bar{x} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{x} \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

One-sided Intervals

$$X \sim f(x|\theta)$$

$L(X)$ = statistic, called a
LOWER BOUND for θ
with confidence level
 $100(1-\alpha)\%$ if

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \geq L(X)) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

and $U(X)$ is an UPPER BOUND if

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \leq U(X)) \geq 1 - \alpha, \text{ for all } \theta.$$

How to find them:

① Inverting a test (Lower Bound)

Test hypotheses

$$H_0: \theta \geq \theta_0 \text{ versus}$$

$$H_a: \theta < \theta_0.$$

Write $A(\theta)$ = acceptance region for test, if we are lucky, the region looks like

$$[L(x), +\infty)$$

For an UPPER Bound, instead test $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_a: \theta > \theta_0$.

Method

② Pivotal Quantities

$Q(x, \theta)$ is pivotal.

Assume $Q(x, \theta)$ is increasing in θ for each x .

Then set

$$\{\theta: Q(x, \theta) \geq q_\alpha\}$$

$$= \{\theta: \theta \geq \underbrace{Q^{-1}(q_\alpha, x)}\}$$

This is $L(\bar{X})$.

Example: X_1, \dots, X_n IID UNIFORM $(0, \theta)$.

Want Lower Bound for θ .

1) Inventing a test.

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_a: \theta > \theta_0.$$

Fixed $\theta_0 > 0$.

Then the UMP test Rejects H_0 if

$$\bar{X}_{(n)} > c.$$

where c is such that

$$P_{\theta_0}(\bar{X}_{(n)} > c) = \alpha.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } T &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\theta_0} = \max\left(\frac{X_i}{\theta_0}\right) \\ &= \max(U_i) \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true.} \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\quad \text{uniform } (0,1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$T \sim \text{pdf:}$

$$f(t) = n \cdot t^{n-1}, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Therefore

$$P_{\theta_0} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > c \right\} = P_{\theta_0} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\theta_0} > \frac{c}{\theta_0} \right)$$

$$= P \left(T \geq \frac{c}{\theta_0} \right)$$

$$= n \int_{c/\theta_0}^1 t^{n-1} dt \dots$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0}\right)^n \quad \text{Set this equal to } \alpha$$

$$\alpha = 1 - \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0}\right)^n$$

$$\left(\frac{c}{\theta_0}\right)^n = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\frac{c}{\theta_0} = (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$$

$$c = \theta_0 (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$$

So our Acceptance Region is

$$A(\theta) = \left\{ \theta : \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq \theta_0 (1 - \alpha)^{1/n} \right\}$$

$$\text{Bound} = \left\{ \theta : x \in A(\theta) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \theta : \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq \theta (1 - \alpha)^{1/n} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \theta : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(1 - \alpha)^{1/n}} \leq \theta \right\}$$

100(1- α)%
CI that
gives a
lower bound
for θ .

Method 2: Pivotal Quantity -

5/2/11 (5)

based on $Q = \frac{\max X_i}{\theta}$.

Have seen how to find CIs.

Question: how to ASSESS CIs?

That is, suppose have 2 intervals

$$I_1 = [L_1, U_1]$$

with

$$I_2 = [L_2, U_2]$$

$$P(\theta \in I_i) = 1 - \alpha, \quad i=1, 2.$$

Which one's better?

One criterion: SKINNER is BETTER.

$$[0.41, 0.48]$$

$$[0.42, 0.69] \rightarrow \text{WIDER INTERVAL}$$

We say I_1 is better than I_2 if

$$|I_1| \leq |I_2|$$

$$\text{or } E|I_1| \leq E|I_2|.$$

Ex) X_1, \dots, X_n iid $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Conf. Int for σ^2 .

Based on Pivotal Quantity

$$\frac{\sum X_i^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2 (df=n).$$

So our interval looks like

$$\left\{ \sigma^2 : a \leq \frac{\sum X_i^2}{\sigma^2} \leq b \right\}$$

$$\text{or } \left\{ \sigma^2 : \frac{\sum X_i^2}{b} \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{\sum X_i^2}{a} \right\}$$